

ORDER No. FL. 1495-1504—Ex. 34-25-20, DATED 20TH AUGUST 1926.

Most of the date reserves not required for cultivation have been surrendered to the Revenue Department for being disposed of for cultivation in the marginally noted Government Orders. The lists of Date Reserves required to be maintained for the Excise Department have been printed. There are, therefore, very few Date Reserves available for cultivation. With a view, however, to ensure the exclusion from Date Reserves of lands which are not required for Excise purposes and which are fit for cultivation and consequent also on the abolition of the District Excise Officers' Posts it is necessary to revise the rules regulating the grant of such lands for cultivation. Government are, therefore, pleased to issue the following revised rules for the disposal of applications for the grant of lands reserved as date groves for cultivation.

1. G. O. No. R. 10692-96—Ex. 18-18-36, dated 16-3-1920.
 2. G. O. No. R. 421-8—Ex. 18-18-30, dated 9-7-1920.
 3. G. O. No. R. 1927-33—Ex. 18-18-51, dated 4-10-1921.
 4. G. O. No. R. 2143-7—Ex. 18-18-52, dated 3-11-1921.
 5. G. O. No. R. 2234-39—Ex. 18-18-63, dated 22-11-1922.

2. When an application for land included in the Date Reserve List is received and the Amildar is in favour of the grant of the land (in view of the paucity of suitable lands in the neighbourhood of the locality or for other valid reasons), the Amildar and the Excise Inspector of the Range concerned should inspect the land and report the matter with their recommendations to the Sub-Division Officer, who will if both the Officers agree, sanction the grant of the land under the 'Darkhast Rules' or submit the records for the orders of the Deputy Commissioner. If the Sub-Division Officer is not in favour of granting the land for cultivation, he may reject the application. It is then open to the party to appeal to the Deputy Commissioner.

3. If the Sub-Division Officer disagrees with the Excise Inspector and is of opinion that the land may be granted for cultivation he should forward the papers to the Deputy Commissioner. Orders passed by the Deputy Commissioner refusing the grant will be subject to appeal to the Revenue Commissioner.

4. If on appeal preferred under para (2) the Revenue Commissioner is unable to agree with the Deputy Commissioner, the matter should be referred to Government who after consulting the Excise Commissioner, if necessary, will dispose of the case in the best interests of both the Departments.

5. Ordinarily, in deciding cases under the foregoing rules, the proved requirements of *bona fide* cultivation shall have precedence over those of Excise Revenue in the case of all assessed lands and *vice versa* in the case of all unassessed lands.

6. When a darkhast for land is finally rejected under the foregoing rules, a note to that effect shall be made in the "Khetwar Patrik" and all subsequent Darkhasts for the same land shall be summarily refused for a period of three full years ensuing.

The Excise Commissioner is requested to issue necessary amendments to the Mysore Excise Manual.

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB,

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Irsal Patti Form.

READ—

Government Order No. R. 7559-70—L. R. 20-22-77, dated the 27th June 1925, issuing orders for the revision of the Land Revenue, Accounts, Forms and Returns.

2. Letter No. G. 3769—25, dated the 17th July 1926, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, in the matter of the amendment or omission of the foot-note (a) to the Irsal Patti Form, published as an annexure No. I to the Government Order read above.

ORDER No. R. 1187-98—L. R. 67-26-2, DATED 24th AUGUST 1926.

The Revenue Commissioner states that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, suggest that the foot-note (a) "the penal assessment (including cesses) as well as fines levied on Takrar Taktas for unauthorised occupation, etc., should be shown under this head", mentioned in the Irsal Patti Form (Annexure No. I on page 9 of the Government Order read above), may be omitted on the ground that, by crediting all such realisations in a lumpsum without classification, there will be loss of revenue to the Local Boards and the Education Department, inasmuch as the cesses